# SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S146757** B182761 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (SALVADOR)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 22, 2006.

**S146837** D046977 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. DOUGLAS (BENETTE L.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 21, 2006.

**S146847** C053580 Third Appellate District **FOSTER (WILLIAM) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 22, 2006.

**S146857** E039001 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. LUGO (ROSENDO)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 22, 2006.

**S146967** A110995 First Appellate District, Div.5 **S. (FRANK), IN RE**The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 28, 2006.

#### S081918 PEOPLE v. MCKINZIE (KENNETH)

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 8, 2007.

## S140337 DOMINGUEZ (VINCENT) ON H.C.

On application of The Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is hereby extended to November 30, 2006.

S143287 F047536 Fifth Appellate District HERNANDEZ (ADRIAN) v. CITY OF HANFORD

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellants' answer brief on the merits is hereby extended to December 13, 2006.

S143819 B179275 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 RAMIREZ (MARIA D.) v. NELSON (THOMAS)

Extension of time granted to December 18, 2006, to file appellant, Maria Delores Ramirez, answer brief on the merits.

**S147337** E041437 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **FIERRO (DAVID) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)** On application of The Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is hereby extended to November 14, 2006.

#### S120485

#### MURPHY ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed. Due to an oversight by the State Bar, the order filed on April 14, 2004, is corrected nunc pro tunc to reflect the correct numbers of the State Bar Court cases as 00-O-12629 and 02-O-13664. All other terms and conditions remain the same

S123614

#### **BURGER ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed. Due to an oversight by the State Bar, the order filed on August 11, 2004, is corrected nunc pro tunc to reflect the correct number of the State Bar Court case as 00-C-12004. All other terms and conditions remain the same.

#### S127204

#### MORGAN ON RESIGNATION

Order filed. Due to an oversight by the State Bar, the order filed on August 26, 2004, is corrected nunc pro tunc to reflect the attorney's correct name as Christopher Alan Morgan. All other terms and conditions remain the same.

\$145330 962 SUSPENSION

Order filed. Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to Family Code 17520, the suspension of STEVEN W. BURT, #214750, pursuant to our order filed on July 26, 2006, is hereby terminated. This order is final forthwith.

#### S145875

#### SUSPENSION DUES - NONPAYMENT

Order filed. Due to clerical error on the part of The State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of fees filed on August 22, 2006, effective September 18, 2006, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the name ZAKEYA L. BROOKINS, #212900.

# S147826

# SMITH (TONY) v. LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT (PEOPLE)

Transferred to CA 2 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

## S147882

## **BURTON** (TIMOTHY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to CA 5 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

# BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 738)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL CERTIFICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES.)

# BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 739)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL CERTIFICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES.)